The link between persistent infection with high-risk human papillomavirus (HPV) and the development of cervical cancer, the second most common cancer in women worldwide, is well established. The development of highly efficacious vaccines against the two most prevalent genotypes, HPV16 and HPV18 (13), represents one of the most significant advances in human vaccination for many years (12, 15, 19). Emerging data from efficacy trials also suggest some degree of cross-protection against nonvaccine types, including HPV31 and HPV45 (1, 15).

Serologic assays for the evaluation of HPV vaccine responses are currently limited to an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) (9), three multiplex assay systems (4, 6, 14), and a pseudovirus neutralization assay (2), and emerging data suggest that each system has some utility for characterizing HPV vaccine antibody specificity (3, 18). Protection against vaccine types is thought to be mediated by neutralizing antibodies (17), and while the mechanism of vaccine-induced cross-protection is uncertain, the measurement of antibodies against nonvaccine types (5, 11) may be useful as a potential correlate or surrogate of cross-protection (16). The only internationally available serologic standard is a WHO International Standard (IS) for HPV16 antibodies, derived from subjects with natural HPV16 infection (7), although a candidate IS for HPV18 antibodies, derived from subjects with natural HPV18 infection, is currently being characterized.

The aim of this study was to create serologic reference reagents for use as quality controls in postimmunization serosurveillance surveys able to control for responses against vaccine (HPV16 and HPV18) and nonvaccine (HPV31 and HPV45) types. While ISs are essential for assigning an international unitage of antibody levels, the daily quality control of serological tests needs to have access to secondary standards that are available in larger amounts than the IS itself. Such secondary standards should preferably be characterized by analysis of antibody level in parallel with the IS, to assign a traceable international unitage to them (21). The reference reagents described in this paper have the high antibody levels that are typical of vaccinated subjects, and this makes them easier to use as reference standards for laboratories that perform serology mostly on vaccinated subjects, who have antibody levels substantially higher than found in the IS.

Twenty-seven citrated plasma packs not required for transfusion were obtained from NHS Blood and Transplant and tested negative for anti-HIV antibodies, anti-hepatitis C virus (HCV) antibodies, and HBsAg. The plasma packs were selected from females 18 years old in September 2009, of which a high proportion would have been vaccinated with the bivalent vaccine as part of the United Kingdom National HPV Immunization Programme “catch up” campaign (20). Serum is thought to be the ideal sample for HPV neutralization assays, due to the potential for heparin to interfere with the assay (2); however, as these plasma samples were collected as citrated plasma packs, this is not expected to be an issue.

A plasma panel containing one aliquot of each coded sample was formally distributed to (i) laboratory A (Centre for Infections, Health Protection Agency, London, United Kingdom) for testing in a neutralization assay containing Optiprep-purified pseudoviruses representing HPV16, HPV18, HPV31, HPV45, and the control bovine papillomavirus (BPV) made by transfection of 293TT cells with the appropriate bicistronic psheLL L1-L2 plasmid and the secreted alkaline phosphatase (SEAP) reporter vector (http://home.ccr.cancer.gov/lco/plasmids.asp) (2) with transduction of susceptible target cells resolved using the chemiluminescent SEAP reporter vector (Promega), (ii) laboratory B (Global WHO HPV Reference Laboratory, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA) for testing in the pseudovirus neutralization assay containing HPV16, HPV18, and the control BPV and detected using the SEAP reporter gene assay (BD Biosciences) and a Victor 2 luminometer (Perkin Elmer), and (iii) laboratory C (Global WHO HPV Reference Laboratory, Malmö University Hospital, Sweden) for testing in the pseudovirus neutralization assay containing HPV16, HPV18, and the control BPV and detected using the SEAP reporter gene assay (BD Biosciences) and a Victor 2 luminometer (Perkin Elmer).
for testing in a multiplex serology assay with the following non-reporter-containing HPV L1-L2 pseudoviruses: α1 (HPV32), α2 (HPV3), α7 (HPV18, HPV45, and HPV68), α9 (HPV16, HPV31, HPV33, HPV52, and HPV58), α10 (HPV6 and HPV11), β1 (HPV5), β2 (HPV15 and HPV38), and β3 (HPV76) according to published methodology (6).

Eight plasma samples (29.6%) demonstrated no neutralization against any of the four HPV types tested and 18 (66.7%) neutralized both HPV16 and HPV18 (12 of these also neutralized both HPV31 and HPV45), while 1 sample (3.7%) was positive for HPV16 alone, suggesting a natural HPV16 infection (Fig. 1). No neutralization of the control BPV pseudovirus was seen (all titers <40). Based on sample positivity alone, there was 100% concordance (interrater agreement, κ = 1.000; [Stata 10.1; StataCorp, TX]) between the neutralization data sets from laboratories A and B. In addition, there was also very good agreement between the magnitudes of neutralizing antibody titers obtained by both laboratories for HPV16 (96% concordance, κ = 0.945) and HPV18 (85% concordance, κ = 0.797) when stratified by discrete titer intervals (<40, 40 to 160, 160 to 640, 640 to 2,560, 2,560 to 10,240, and >10,240).

A comparison of neutralization (laboratory A) and multiplex serological (laboratory C) assay data sets showed 100% concordance (κ = 1.000) for HPV16 and HPV18, while for HPV31 and HPV45, concordance was lower, at 96.3% (κ = 0.922; McNemar test for discrepancies, P = 1.000 [Stata 10.1]) and 85.2% (κ = 0.705; P = 0.617), respectively, although these discrepancies were not significant. Of the eight plasma samples for which no neutralization activity was detected, four of these had serological reactivity against one or more α or β HPV types not included in the neutralization panel (P07 [HPV6 and HPV32], P16 [HPV6], P20 [HPV68 and HPV76], and P27 [HPV38]).

These data were used to assemble HPV-negative, intermediate HPV16/18 antibody, and high HPV16/18 antibody plasma pools whose specificity was confirmed by laboratory A in the pseudovirus neutralization assay (Table 1). The WHO International Standard for HPV16 antibodies (IS16; code 05/134, 10 international units [IU]/ml) demonstrated type-specific neutralization of HPV16 at levels consistent with natural infection (Table 1) (7).

The HPV-negative plasma pool (Table 1) comprised four plasma samples (P03, P04, P12, and P19) that were negative for neutralizing antibodies against HPV16, HPV18, HPV31, and HPV45 (Fig. 1) and negative for binding antibodies against all of the α and β HPV types by multiplex serology.

The intermediate HPV16/18 antibody plasma pool (Table 1) comprised two plasma samples (P18 and P21) that displayed in-
termediate levels of neutralizing antibody against HPV16 and HPV18; plasma sample P18 also displayed some neutralizing antibody activity against HPV31 (Fig. 1). Both plasma samples were positive for binding antibodies against HPV16 and HPV18, and apart from P18 having some reactivity against HPV32 (α1), these samples were negative for binding antibodies against other α and β HPV types tested by multiplex serology. The median HPV16 neutralizing antibody titer for this plasma pool when expressed as IU per milliliter was 187 IU/ml (interquartile range [IQR], 126 to 211 IU/ml; n = 3), consistent with a low-level to intermediate vaccine response (7).

The high HPV16/18 antibody plasma pool (Table 1) comprised seven plasma samples (P02, P06, P09, P14, P22, P24, and P25) that displayed high levels of neutralizing antibody against HPV16 and HPV18 and intermediate levels against HPV31 and HPV45 (Fig. 1). The individual plasma samples were positive for multiple α and β HPV types tested by multiplex serology, including α1 (HPV32), α2 (HPV3), α7 (HPV18, HPV45, and HPV68), α9 (HPV16, HPV31, HPV33, HPV52, and HPV58), α10 (HPV6), β1 (HPV5), β2 (HPV15 and HPV38), and β3 (HPV76). The median HPV16 neutralizing antibody level was 6,668 IU/ml (IQR, 5,356 to 8,023 IU/ml; n = 3), consistent with a high-level vaccine antibody response (7).

Overall, the results obtained from testing the plasma pools were similar to those expected from the averages of the responses for the individual plasma samples.

Antibody titers derived from natural infection are significantly lower than from vaccines (8), and HPV16, HPV18, HPV31, and HPV45 coinfections, particularly in this age group, are rare (10; R. Howell-Jones, N. de Silva, M. Akpan, P. Oakeshott, C. Carder, L. Coulmand, M. Sillis, H. Mallinson, V. Ellis, D. Froshdham, T. I. Robinson, O. N. Gill, S. Beddonis, and K. Soldan, submitted for publication). Together, these data suggest that the plasma samples with high-titer antibodies against both HPV16 and HPV18 (including those with reactivity against HPV31 and HPV45) are almost certainly a result of vaccination. Our panel is not perfectly representative of most vaccinees, due to the age of vaccination in the catch-up cohort being rather older than the target age for routine immunization. However, the neutralization responses measured using these plasma pools are indistinguishable from the responses seen with sera taken from 13- to 14-year-old vaccinees (5). Low levels of antibodies generated by vaccination and/or natural infection toward other α and β HPV types, as suggested from multiplex serology, cannot be ruled out.

Surveillance studies are important for estimating the prevalence of an infectious agent in, or for monitoring the impact of a vaccine on, a population or demographic group. With the recent introduction of the HPV vaccines, many countries are conducting postvaccine surveillance, including seroepidemiology surveys. These plasma pools will be useful as reference reagents. They are currently available as 250-μl aliquots of liquid plasma archived at −80°C and can be obtained from the National Institute for Biological Standards and Control.
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