Serological Monitoring Is Key To Sustain Progress of the Maternal and Neonatal Tetanus Elimination Initiative
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In this issue of Clinical and Vaccine Immunology, Scobie and colleagues (H. M. Scobie et al., Clin Vaccine Immunol 23:546–554, 2016, http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/CVI.00052-16) report a nationwide serosurvey of tetanus immunity in >2,000 Cambodian women of child-bearing age to monitor progress toward maternal and neonatal tetanus elimination. This commentary discusses vaccines as interventions for disease control, elimination, and eradication and emphasizes the importance of the tools needed to monitor the effectiveness of initiatives that deliver the vaccines programmatically.

The eradication of smallpox in the late 1970s taught us many lessons, some of which have guided subsequent programs striving to achieve control, regional elimination, or global eradication of certain other infectious diseases. Three global initiatives based on the use of vaccines as the key intervention have followed in the steps of the Smallpox Eradication Program: the Global Polio Eradication Initiative (1988) (1), the Measles Initiative (2001; expanded in 2012 to the Measles & Rubella Initiative) (2), and the Maternal and Neonatal Tetanus Elimination Initiative (initially focused on neonatal tetanus [1999], this initiative expanded to include maternal tetanus as well) (3). While vaccines constitute the main intervention, surveillance is an indispensable tool for monitoring the progress of these initiatives.

Facilitating eradication of the smallpox virus, humans constituted its only reservoir, and surveillance was simplified by the distinct clinical picture of smallpox and its 100% clinical expression (i.e., lack of asymptomatic infections). The crude but highly effective vaccine used to interrupt transmission was extremely inexpensive to manufacture, and vials of lyophilized vaccine required no cold chain in the field to maintain potency, thereby facilitating field use. Moreover, the simple, practical, and effective bifurcated needle allowed minimally educated workers to quickly become competent, effective vaccinators (4). Surveillance to detect smallpox cases, the key to directing ring vaccination containment around the cases to interrupt transmission to contacts (5, 6), was practiced in an era without personal computers, the Internet, or cell phones. Once the last cases of smallpox occurred and it was clear that there was no human reservoir from which transmission could continue, within 3 years, routine smallpox vaccination was discontinued worldwide. Humans also constitute the reservoir of infection for polio and measles (7). In contrast, spores of Clostridium tetani abound in the intestines of animals and are also widely found in soil.

Despite the fact that ~100 subclinical or nonparalytic poliovirus infections occur for each paralytic wild-type case, the Global Polio Eradication Initiative has made enormous strides and has interrupted the transmission of wild-type poliovirus type 2 (no cases since 1999) and type 3 (no cases since 2012). In all of 2015, there were only 74 cases of wild-type 1 poliovirus disease and only 85% (9).
identified and steps taken to strengthen the immunization services that are supposed to serve them.

The paper by Scobie et al. in this issue of Clinical and Vaccine Immunology (15) has many laudable features. The team performed an impressive nationwide serosurvey to measure the prevalence of protective titers of tetanus antitoxin in women aged 15 to 39 years in Cambodia, with a large sample size selected by using statistically rigorous methods. The investigators collected serum and measured tetanus antitoxin by two different methods, the double-antigen enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (DAE, which is considered the “gold standard”) and a novel and highly sensitive multiplex bead assay (MBA) that can simultaneously test for multiple other antibodies of interest. The analyses of the tetanus antitoxin data demonstrate why such surveys are needed if neonatal and maternal tetanus cases are to be kept at very low levels. Their survey clearly identified geographic areas (in western Cambodia), age subgroups of adult women (15 to 19 and 20 to 24 years), and other groups with significantly lower rates of seroprotection. They thereby diagnosed at the population level where program strengthening is needed.

Scobie et al. (15) tout the DAE because with serum samples containing low levels of tetanus antitoxin (e.g., 0.01 to 0.14 IU/ml), this method correlates well with established in vivo assays that measure protective neutralizing antitoxin levels (16). The same is true of the toxin binding inhibition assay for tetanus antitoxin determination (17). However, these assays are not easy to set up in developing-country reference laboratories because they require careful optimization and standardized reagents that are not commercially (or otherwise easily) available. In the format originally described, they can be performed only under the auspices of the Statens Serum Institute in Copenhagen, Denmark. For these reasons, large surveys of seroprotection in other venues, in both industrialized and developing countries, have relied upon classical indirect enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs) (18–22).

In such assays, a cutoff of 0.15 IU/ml is typically used as evidence of seroprotection, recognizing that this cutoff is 15 times the titer that is usually considered protective (0.01 IU/ml). This means that, with this ELISA, some women having titers between 0.01 and 0.14 IU/ml would be incorrectly scored as unprotected. On the other hand, for persons with titers of ≥0.15 IU/ml, one can have confidence that they are not only protected in the short term but are likely to remain protected for some period of time following the survey. Other MBAs similar to the one described by Scobie et al. (15) have been proposed as sensitive high-throughput alternatives for immune surveillance of tetanus (23) and other vaccine-preventable diseases (24–26). Similarly, the lack of commercial reagents and the requirement of a somewhat sophisticated laboratory infrastructure pose barriers for these technologies to become widely available.

Despite the multiple positive features of the survey of Scobie et al., we believe that some other survey strategies and tactics may be useful in achieving more broadly the aim of monitoring seroprotection against tetanus in developing countries, as those alternative methods are simpler, logistically more practical, and more economical and employ technologies that are easier to transfer to local institutions in developing countries.

Although serum is the “golden” fluid for determination of biomarkers, it requires the use of a sharp to obtain blood by venipuncture or by needle stick and a centrifugation step to separate serum from a clot. In addition, equipment is required, as described by Scobie et al. (15) and others (27), to maintain a reverse cold/freeze chain. The use of dried blood spots (DBS) does not obviate a needle stick but does eliminate the requirement for a cold/freeze chain (28, 29). However, once back in the reference laboratory the DBS must undergo a cumbersome elution step to obtain quasiserum for testing. The eluates contain hemoglobin and erythrocyte debris that increase background readings in traditional ELISAs, and the initial dilution required to bypass this nonspecific reactivity limits the sensitivity of this method. The convenience of ambient-temperature handling may theoretically compromise the quality of the sample in some extreme ecologies.

Oral fluid, which contains IgG-rich crevicular fluid, offers an alternative to serum or DBS collection by eliminating the need for a needle stick (20). It is easier to perform and better tolerated than blood collection (Fig. 1). Properly collected oral fluid contains ~1% the total IgG concentration of serum, and IgG antibody titers are ~1/100 of those measured in serum from the same individual, be that person an adult, a toddler, or an infant (20). Despite the differences in relative levels, tetanus antitoxin measured in oral fluid is an excellent predictor of serum antibody content (20); a titer ≥0.0015 IU/ml in oral fluid (1/100 of the cutoff used for serum in a standard ELISA) is considered positive and evidence of protection (20). Measurement of IgG antibody in oral fluids is useful not only for tetanus (20) but also for other vaccine antigens such as measles (30), meningococcal polysaccharides, and Haemophilus influenzae type b. Originally, oral fluids were transported by using a reverse cold chain to the reference laboratory for antibody measurements (20, 30). We propose that the final configuration of an ideal, practical antibody biomarker monitoring method, whether based on serum, blood, or oral fluid specimens, should be usable at the point of care to provide the biomarker protection results in the field within a few minutes of collection of the specimens. Kits, devices, and methods to provide such point-of-care results would enhance biomarker measurement to monitor vaccination program effectiveness, although there may be a limitation to the number of biomarkers that can be measured simultaneously.

**FIG 1** Oral fluid collection from Malian infants. Copyright Samba O. Sow, Centre pour le Développement des Vaccins du Mali (CVD-Mali), Bamako, Mali; reproduced with permission.
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