Evaluation of a Prototype Flow Cytometry Test for Serodiagnosis of Canine Visceral Leishmaniasis
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Canine visceral leishmaniasis (CVL) is considered one of the most important canine protozoan diseases of zoonotic concern (1). Various species of Phlebotomus and Lutzomyia sandflies are the potential vectors for the pathogenic agent Leishmania infantum (2). In some European, Asian, and African countries and in America, infection in dogs is associated with a risk of human disease (3–5). In Brazil, the Ministry of Health, through the Visceral Leishmaniasis Control and Surveillance Program (VLCSP), has instituted specific measures to reduce morbidity and case fatality rates, including treating human cases, instituting vector control, and, an action that is unique in the world, sacrificing these groups with high sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values, and accuracy (100% in all analyses). When the CVL group was evaluated according to the dogs’ clinical status, the prototype test showed outstanding accuracy in all groups with positive serology (asymptomatic II, oligosymptomatic, and symptomatic). However, in dogs which had positive results by PCR-restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) but negative results by conventional serology (asymptomatic I), serological reactivity was not observed. Additionally, sera from 40 dogs immunized with different vaccines (Leishmune, Leish-Tec, or LB5ap) did not present serological reactivity in the prototype test. Eighty-eight dogs infected with other pathogens (Trypanosoma cruzi, Leishmania braziliensis, Ehrlichia canis, and Babesia canis) were used to determine cross-reactivity and specificity, and the prototype test performed well, particularly in dogs infected with B. canis and E. canis (100% and 93.3% specificities, respectively). In conclusion, our data reinforce the potential of the prototype test for use as a commercial kit and highlight its outstanding performance even after storage for 1 year at 4°C. Moreover, the prototype test efficiently provided accurate CVL serodiagnosis with an absence of false-positive results in vaccinated dogs and minor cross-reactivity against other canine pathogens.

Diagnosing canine visceral leishmaniasis (CVL) is a critical challenge since conventional immunoserological tests still present some deficiencies. The current study evaluated a prototype flow cytometry serology test, using antigens and fluorescent antibodies that had been stored for 1 year at 4°C, on a broad range of serum samples. Noninfected control dogs and Leishmania infantum-infected dogs were tested, and the prototype test showed excellent performance in differentiating these groups with high sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values, and accuracy (100% in all analyses). When the CVL group was evaluated according to the dogs’ clinical status, the prototype test showed outstanding accuracy in all groups with positive serology (asymptomatic II, oligosymptomatic, and symptomatic). However, in dogs which had positive results by PCR-restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) but negative results by conventional serology (asymptomatic I), serological reactivity was not observed. Additionally, sera from 40 dogs immunized with different vaccines (Leishmune, Leish-Tec, or LB5ap) did not present serological reactivity in the prototype test. Eighty-eight dogs infected with other pathogens (Trypanosoma cruzi, Leishmania braziliensis, Ehrlichia canis, and Babesia canis) were used to determine cross-reactivity and specificity, and the prototype test performed well, particularly in dogs infected with B. canis and E. canis (100% and 93.3% specificities, respectively). In conclusion, our data reinforce the potential of the prototype test for use as a commercial kit and highlight its outstanding performance even after storage for 1 year at 4°C. Moreover, the prototype test efficiently provided accurate CVL serodiagnosis with an absence of false-positive results in vaccinated dogs and minor cross-reactivity against other canine pathogens.
Because serological methods still represent the most realistic and applicable tools for epidemiological surveys and for CVL diagnosis, the development of novel serological tests and the validation of alternative methodologies are urgently needed. Toward these ends, several studies have focused on applying flow cytometry technology to serological analyses of leishmaniasis in humans and canines (16–20).

To complement the good performance of flow cytometry-based methodologies in serological approaches, we recently developed a protocol for antigen preparation and optimal antigen preservation conditions, which improved the long-term quality and efficiency of the antigens, which in turn allows for the routine use of this tool for laboratory CVL diagnosis (21). The goal of the present study was to use antigens and conjugate antibodies that were stored for 1 year at 4°C to evaluate a prototype test based on flow cytometry serology for CVL diagnosis. For this purpose, we conducted serological analyses on a broad range of serum samples obtained from L. infantum-infected dogs with different clinical statuses, dogs vaccinated against visceral leishmaniasis, and dogs infected with other major canine pathogens.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study animals.** Serum samples obtained from 278 mongrel dogs of either gender were used (Fig. 1). Seventy samples from noninfected dogs were included as a control group. This group was composed of sera (n = 30) from a subset of control dogs born in a kennel in the animal facility of the Federal University of Ouro Preto (Minas Gerais, Brazil) and sera (n = 40) from a subset of control dogs from a cross-sectional study conducted in 2008 in Belo Horizonte, Brazil, where CVL is endemic (22). The control dogs were characterized by negative parasitological and PCR-RFLP results for L. infantum and by seronegative results for Leishmania spp. by IFATs and ELISAs.

The CVL group (n = 80) was determined according the dogs’ serological reactivity in ELISAs and IFATs and also by the PCR-RFLP molecular assays. The CVL group was divided into four subgroups according to clinical status, as proposed by Mancianti et al. (36) and reviewed by Coura-Vital et al. (22): two asymptomatic groups (asymptomatic I [n = 20] and asymptomatic II [n = 20]), an oligosymptomatic group (n = 21), and a symptomatic group (n = 19). The asymptomatic I dogs were seronegative by the IFATs and ELISAs but positive by the PCR-RFLP molecular assays. The other three groups (asymptomatic II, oligosymptomatic, and symp-
tomatic) were characterized by having two positive serological tests (IFAT and ELISA).

In addition to the groups described above, the study also used 40 adult mongrel dogs of either gender that were maintained in the kennel of the Federal University of Ouro Preto and vaccinated with a commercial vaccine, either Leishmune (n = 12) or Leish-Tec (n = 16), or a potential candidate vaccine, LBSap (n = 12). All animals received three doses of the vaccines used in this study, with an interval of 21 days between each dose. The immunizations were conducted according to the instructions of the manufacturer of the commercial vaccine or the proposed protocol for the candidate LBSap vaccine (15).

To further characterize the degree of cross-reactivity and specificity by flow cytometry serology, we also investigated 88 serum samples from dogs naturally infected with *Leishmania braziliensis* (n = 30), dogs experimentally infected with *Trypanosoma cruzi* (n = 18), and dogs with common tick-borne infections such as *Ehrlichia canis* (n = 30) and *Babesia canis* (n = 10). These samples were from the serum bank of the Clinical Research Laboratory of Pharmacy School from the Federal University of Ouro Preto and were kindly provided by different laboratories. Each infection was previously characterized by specific serology (ELISA)- and PCR-positive results, and samples were PCR negative for *L. infantum*.  

**Sample collection.** Peripheral blood samples were collected by an intravenous puncture of the radial vein of each dog using a disposable 5-ml syringe and a vacuum vial (Vacuette; Campinas, SP, Brazil). The serum was transferred to sterile tubes (Sarstedt, Newton, NC) until required for the assays. For the bone marrow cultures, dogs were sedated with an intravenous dose (8 mg/kg body weight) of sodium thiopental (Thionembutal; Abbott Laboratories, São Paulo, Brazil), and bone marrow fluid was removed from the ventral region of the sternum or from the iliac crest using a sterile syringe. Then, bone marrow aspirates were transferred to sterile tubes containing Novy-MacNeal-Nicolle–liter infusion tryptose (NNN-LIT) medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (23).

Dogs seroreactive to ELISA and IFAT were euthanized by the Zoonotic Disease Control Center of Belo Horizonte (Minas Gerais, Brazil). After the euthanasia, biopsy specimens from the ear skin and spleen were collected using sterile scalpels. The tissue fragments were placed onto microscope slides and stained with Giemsa for parasitological exams.

This study was approved by the Universidade Federal de Ouro Preto Committees of Ethics in Animal Experimentation (protocol no. 083/2007).

**Design of the prototype flow cytometry test.** The prototype test described in this study is registered at the Instituto Nacional da Propriedade Industrial (Brazil) under patent number BR 1020120047420, deposited on 2 March 2012. The antigen preparation and reaction conditions were as previously described by Ker et al. (21).

For this experiment, the *L. infantum* antigen had been preserved in 0.5% formaldehyde, and the IgG-labeled antibody had been stored at 4°C for 1 year. Briefly, the antigen suspensions (5.0 × 10^6 parasites/well) were incubated at 37°C for 30 min in the presence of 50 μl of diluted serum samples at a 1:4,096 dilution using a 96-well U-bottom plate (BD Falcon). Following the incubation, the parasite suspension was washed twice with 150 μl of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) with 3% FBS (1,000 × g for 10 min at 4°C) and reincubated in the dark for 30 min at 37°C in the presence of 50 μl of previously diluted 1:1,000 anti-canine IgG fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-labeled antibody (catalog number A40-105F; Becton Dickinson Laboratories Inc., Montgomery, TX). After being incubated (at 37°C for 30 min) and washed twice with 150 μl of PBS with 3% FBS (1,000 × g for 10 min at 4°C), the stained parasites were fixed with fluorescence-activated cell sorter (FACS) fix solution and maintained for at least 30 min at 4°C in the dark prior to the flow cytometric data acquisition. Internal controls, for which the parasites were incubated in the absence of dog serum but in the presence of the FITC-labeled secondary reagent, were included for all the experiments to monitor nonspecific binding. Flow cytometric measurements were performed on a FACSCan flow cytometer (Becton, Dickson, CA) interfaced to an Apple FACStation, and the CellQuest software package was used for data acquisition and storage. The analysis was performed with FlowJo software (FlowJo, Ashland, OR). IgG reactivity was expressed as the percentage of fluorescence-positive parasites, and the cutoff value was obtained through a receiver operating characteristic curve according to the method described by Ker et al. (21).

**Gold standards.** Two parasitological methods were used as the gold standards for diagnosis: investigation of amastigotes on tissue smears of skin and spleen on Giemsa-stained slides and examination of promastigote forms in bone marrow cultures.

**Statistical analysis.** The data analyses were conducted using Stata software (version 11.0; Stata Corporation, College Station, TX), and the flow cytometry serology performance was assessed by percentages. The prototype test was evaluated by its sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive value (NPV), and accuracy, using the results of the parasitological tests as reference standards (at the 95% confidence interval). The overall performance of the prototype test was calculated using the noninfected control dogs as truly negative and the dogs with parasitological exams positive for *L. infantum* as truly positive. Moreover, the groups of animals infected with other pathogens were used as negative samples for individual calculations of specificity.

**RESULTS**

The prototype flow cytometry serology test performed well for discriminating noninfected from *L. infantum*-infected dogs with different clinical forms. Results of the evaluation of the performance of the prototype flow cytometry serology test for CVL diagnosis are shown in Fig. 1. We observed that 58/80 (72.5%) dogs had a positive result, and none of the dogs in the control group showed reactivity (Fig. 2A). To assess the performance of flow cytometry serology in diagnosing different clinical statuses, dogs classified as asymptomatic I, asymptomatic II, oligosymptomatic, and symptomatic were analyzed; positive results were observed in 1/20 (5%), 18/19 (94.7%), 20/21 (95.2%), and 19/20 (95%) dogs, respectively (Fig. 2B).

The prototype flow cytometry serology test showed a high capacity to discriminate reactivity of *Leishmania*-vaccinated dogs and minimize cross-reactivity with other canine pathogens. We performed an analysis of serologic reactivity in the serum of dogs vaccinated with either of the two vaccines against CVL (Leishmune and Leish-Tec) that are commercially available in Brazil or a potential vaccine candidate against CVL (LBSap). Our data demonstrate that none of the serum from vaccinated dogs showed seroreactivity in the prototype flow cytometry serology test (Fig. 3A).

The prototype test showed medium cross-reactivity when sera from the dogs infected with *L. braziliensis* (6/30; 20%) or *T. cruzi* (7/18; 38.9%) were tested. Furthermore, the dogs infected with *E. canis* showed low cross-reactivity (2/30; 6.6%), and *B. canis*-infected samples provided false-positive results (Fig. 3B).

The prototype test resulted in outstanding performance indices in the serological diagnosis of CVL. This study included an analysis of the sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values, and accuracy of the prototype test using 36 of the 80 dogs with CVL as reference dogs with positive parasitological exams; these dogs were considered confirmed positive cases. The 70 dogs from the control group had negative results on the parasitological exams and were considered to be confirmed negative cases. Data analysis demonstrated that the prototype test had high sensitivity (100%), high specificity (100%), a high PPV (100%), and a high NPV (100%). Furthermore, analysis of the accuracy con-
Serological testing has been a basic and essential tool for diagnosing and controlling many infectious diseases (24). Flow cytometry is becoming an increasingly useful tool in both health care and research laboratories because it is a rapid, accurate, and reproducible method of analysis (25). Although there is still a substantial cost for operational support in experiments involving flow cytometry, the creation of a shared resource laboratory model to enhance the scope and quality of the scientific research that applies the flow cytometry-based methodologies was recently described (26, 27). In the same context, through the Oswaldo Cruz Foundation, the Brazilian government implemented the Network Technology Platforms Program for Technological Development in Health Supplies to enhance research perspectives in flow cytometry approaches, which is also suitable for diagnostic services in public health. This platform model offers new perspectives for the use of flow cytometry as a diagnostic tool for neglected tropical diseases such as visceral leishmaniasis.

In the previous studies that employed *L. infantum* antigens prepared just before the serological reaction, it was observed that flow cytometry serology provided outstanding performance for the diagnosis of CVL (19, 28). In the current study, using a standard antigen preparation, we observed excellent performance for the prototype test, which had high sensitivity (100%) and specificity (100%) for detecting IgG in CVL-infected dogs. Moreover, our data demonstrate a high PPV (100%) and a high NPV (100%), indicating that the prototype flow cytometry test is highly reliable for detecting positive CVL samples and also for excluding CVL in noninfected dogs. The high accuracy (100%) observed in this prototype test points toward precise diagnosis. Therefore, our results reinforce the indication that the flow cytometry serology assay is a very useful tool for the diagnosis of CVL.

For the tests in different CVL groups, our data demonstrate that the prototype flow cytometry serology test had outstanding performance for identifying the asymptomatic II, oligosymptomatic, and symptomatic dogs. These findings certify that the prototype test employing the described conditions was capable of providing excellent performance for CVL diagnosis, even after 1 year of storage of the antigen preparation and the IgG-labeled antibody. However, we observed that only one infected dog from the asymptomatic I group was detected. These animals have high prevalence and incidence rates in areas where CVL is endemic, and CVL is not detected by conventional serology methods (22, 29) or by flow cytometry serology, as demonstrated in the current study. We believe that the low sensitivity observed in detecting CVL in this group is due to the immunological profile shown by these dogs that were characterized by having low production of Ig antibodies (IgG, IgG1, IgG2, IgM, IgA, and IgE), which occurs in the early stages of infection (10, 18, 28). During such periods, B lymphocytes do not secrete polyclonal antibodies, and consequently, serological methods are less sensitive at this stage of infection (30, 31). Moreover, it has been observed that these dogs are more likely to seroconvert than are PCR-negative dogs (32).
TABLE 1 Performance indices of flow cytometry serology for detection of anti-Leishmania IgG antibodies in canine sera

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample type</th>
<th>Sensitivity (%) [95% CI]</th>
<th>Specificity (%) [95% CI]</th>
<th>PPV (%) [95% CI]</th>
<th>NPV (%) [95% CI]</th>
<th>Accuracy (%) [95% CI]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CVL</td>
<td>100.0 (90.4–100.0)</td>
<td>100.0 (83.3–99.4)</td>
<td>100.0 (86.2–99.5)</td>
<td>100.0 (88.3–100.0)</td>
<td>100.0 (91.9–99.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. braziliensis</td>
<td>80.0 (62.7–90.5)</td>
<td>85.7 (72.2–93.3)</td>
<td>100.0 (86.2–100.0)</td>
<td>85.2 (73.4–92.3)</td>
<td>90.9 (81.6–95.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. cruzi</td>
<td>55.6 (33.7–75.5)</td>
<td>81.8 (68.1–90.5)</td>
<td>100.0 (72.3–100.0)</td>
<td>85.2 (73.4–92.3)</td>
<td>90.9 (81.6–95.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. canis</td>
<td>100.0 (70.1–100.0)</td>
<td>100.0 (90.4–100.0)</td>
<td>100.0 (70.1–100.0)</td>
<td>100.0 (92.1–100.0)</td>
<td>97.0 (89.6–99.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. canis</td>
<td>93.3 (78.7–98.2)</td>
<td>94.7 (82.7–98.5)</td>
<td>100.0 (87.9–100.0)</td>
<td>97.0 (89.6–99.2)</td>
<td>97.0 (89.6–99.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a CI, confidence interval.

Vaccines against CVL have been promoted as an important tool and a cost-effective strategy for controlling the disease (33). Thus, knowledge about the performance of diagnostic methods for vaccinated dogs is urgently needed to avoid false-positive results, which can lead to the unnecessary euthanasia of noninfected dogs. Andrade et al. (19) described the ability of flow cytometry serology to exclude seroreactivity from Leishmune-vaccinated dogs. Extending this research, we investigated the performance of a prototype flow cytometry test in dogs vaccinated with the Leishmune, Leish-Tec, and LBSap vaccines (14, 15). The novel finding obtained in the present study is that the prototype flow cytometry serology test had extraordinary performance with regard to excluding reactivity in the animals vaccinated with commercial vaccines and also in the dogs immunized with a potential candidate vaccine.

Different pathogens from the same family, such as the Trypanosomatidae (Leishmania spp. and T. cruzi), share similar antigenic repertoires of epitopes that can lead to cross-reactive antibodies in immunodiagnostic tests. Use of the conventional serological methods for the diagnosis of CVL may lead to cross-reactivity with other canine infections, mainly in dogs infected with T. cruzi, L. braziliensis, E. canis, or B. canis (7–9). In our study, despite the fact that the prototype flow cytometry serology test exhibited the lowest specificities in the T. cruzi- and L. braziliensis-infected samples, the results obtained were superior to those observed for other serological tests which assessed the cross-reactivity of these pathogens using conventional methods (8, 34). Nevertheless, in a previous flow cytometry serology study, Andrade et al. (19) verified that a higher dilution (1:8,192) of serum can reduce cross-reactivity in dogs infected with T. cruzi or L. braziliensis with no change in the diagnostic performance for CVL.

With regard to canine tick-borne infections, ehrlichiosis and babesiosis are highly prevalent in Brazil and represent a challenge to veterinarians and public health workers (35). Considering that these vector-borne diseases affect dogs with concomitant CVL in areas of endemicity, we analyzed for the first time the cross-reactivity of a prototype flow cytometry serology test in dogs naturally infected with B. canis and E. canis. This test demonstrated high specificity, positive and negative predictive values, and accuracy, emphasizing its excellent performance in the diagnosis of CVL, even if the animals were infected with one of those pathogens.

The performance of diagnostic tests is greatly limited by the antigen used in the technique. In this study, we showed that the long-term efficacy and robustness of the L. infantum antigens used in the prototype flow cytometry test can be maintained by employing a cheap preservative and storing it at a controlled temperature, which points to the potential commercial use of this prototype test. In this context, our findings strengthen the usefulness of flow cytometry serology on a wider scale, especially in areas of CVL endemicity, and for animals with potential coinfections and those that have been vaccinated. Thus, to validate this test prospectively, we intend to use the prototype antigen in a larger study.

FIG 3 Flow cytometry serology employing antigens and IgG-labeled antibody stored for 1 year to discriminate the reactivity of the dogs vaccinated against Leishmania and also the cross-reactivity with other canine pathogens. (A) The results are expressed as percentages of positive fluorescent parasites (PPFP) for individual samples at a serum dilution of 1:4,096 from the dogs vaccinated with Leishmune (white diamonds), Leish-Tec (light-gray diamonds), or LBSap (dark-gray diamonds). (B) We also tested dogs with other relevant pathogens: L. braziliensis (white triangles), T. cruzi (light-gray triangles), E. canis (medium-gray triangles), or B. canis (dark-gray triangles). The dotted lines represent the cutoffs between the negative and positive results.
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