Effects of Feeding a Probiotic Preparation (SIM) Containing Inulin on the Severity of Colitis and on the Composition of the Intestinal Microflora in HLA-B27 Transgenic Rats
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An overly aggressive immune response to the intestinal microflora in a genetically susceptible host background has been implicated in the pathogenesis of inflammatory bowel diseases. We measured the impact of a probiotic preparation (SIM) containing inulin on the severity of colitis and on intestinal microflora profiles of HLA-B27-β2-microglobulin transgenic (TG) rats. SIM is a mixture of lactobacilli, bifidobacteria, and inulin. Two-month-old TG rats received either SIM or water. Control TG rats received metronidazole, alone or in combination with SIM, for 8 weeks. Nontransgenic rats received SIM or water. The cecal content was removed for analysis of the intestinal microflora by PCR combined with denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis. The colon was scored for histological evidence of inflammation, colonic myeloperoxidase activity and interleukin-1β RNA levels were measured photometrically or by real-time quantitative PCR. At 4 months, the colonic inflammation of TG rats treated with SIM was histologically diminished compared to that in untreated TG rats (2.2 ± 0.2 versus 2.9 ± 0.1; P ≤ 0.03). The administration of SIM altered the microflora profiles of TG rats by increasing the diversity and stimulating specifically the growth of Bifidobacterium animalis. The probiotic bacteria added to SIM were below the detection level in cecal stool samples at the end of the study period. The administration of SIM resulted in a measurable impact on the cecal microflora profiles of TG rats with attenuation of colitis. The lack of detection of any added probiotic bacteria in the cecal content suggests that probiotic inulin is the major effective compound.

The precise etiology of inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD) is still unknown (7, 30, 31), but most investigators share the hypothesis that IBD are the result of an overly aggressive immune response to the intestinal microflora on a genetically susceptible host background (31). This hypothesis is supported by a rapidly increasing number of in vitro experiments, animal studies, and human investigations (7).

Most recently, differences in the composition of the intestinal microflora of patients with acute Crohn’s disease in comparison to Crohn’s disease in remission have been reported (35, 36). Several animal models of experimental colitis develop intestinal inflammation if raised conventionally but remain disease-free under germfree conditions (7). For example, rats transgenic (TG) for human HLA-B27-β2-microglobulin develop severe, immune-mediated colitis if raised in a specific-pathogen-free environment but fail to develop disease if raised germfree (25, 38). The role of the intestinal microflora in the pathogenesis of colitis in this animal model was further demonstrated by the prevention of disease through the administration of metronidazole and the treatment of established colitis with vancomycin and imipenem (24). The preventive effect of metronidazole has been confirmed in a human trial (29). Compelling evidence of a host-dependent immunologic response to residual bacterial flora was provided by Duchmann and colleagues (6), who demonstrated that both local and systemic tolerance toward autologous flora were broken in 2,4,6-trinitrobenzene sulfonic acid (TNBS)-induced colitis, and could be restored by treatment with interleukin-10 (IL-10) or antibodies to IL-12, suggesting a TH1 type of immune response.

Not all bacteria have equal proinflammatory capabilities, as has been demonstrated in gnotobiotic HLA-B27 TG rats (25, 27). More recently, increasing evidence suggests even beneficial effects on chronic intestinal inflammation from commensal bacteria such as several lactobacilli, bifidobacteria, or apathogenic Escherichia coli (12, 33).

Some bacteria are under active investigation for their potentially anti-inflammatory capabilities. In animal models, Lactobacillus spp. were demonstrated to reduce mucosal permeability (19), prevent the onset of colitis, and reverse established intestinal inflammation (34) in IL-10−/− mice and Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG prevents recurrence of intestinal inflammation after antibiotic induction of remission in HLA-B27 TG rats (5).

Probiotic microorganisms are defined as viable nutritional agents conferring benefits to the health of the human host (17). Clinically, beneficial effects of probiotic administration have been demonstrated for the treatment of infectious diarrhea in infants (13), amelioration of side effects of antibiotic therapy (3), and prevention of allergies (2). Clinical trials with different probiotic preparations have also suggested a potential role in the treatment of IBD (33) and have included single-strain preparations (16, 28), as well as a combination of several bacterial species (10). However, the detailed mechanisms by which these bacteria mediate their effects are unknown. Alteration of
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the composition of the intestinal microflora is only temporary when a probiotic is administered (37), but other effects have been described. Experimental animal and in vitro studies have suggested that probiotic bacteria enhance the intestinal mucosal barrier (20), possibly by an increase in the expression of the genes encoding MUC2 and MUC3 (18). An influence on the intestinal immune system has also been suggested, leading to decreased levels of the proinflammatory cytokines gamma interferon, IL-6, and tumor necrosis factor alpha (32) but also to increased levels of secretory immunoglobulin A (22).

These observations suggest that alteration of the composition of the intestinal microflora with antibiotics or probiotics may influence the course of chronic intestinal inflammation (33). Another approach to modify the bacterial flora is to use prebiotic agents. The polysaccharide inulin is a prebiotic compound that is not absorbed or hydrolyzed in the small intestine (4). In vitro studies show that inulin is fermented mainly by bifidobacteria (9). Administration of inulin to human volunteers on a defined diet has been reported to result in increased numbers of bifidobacteria and reduced bacteroides, clostridial, and fusobacterial populations in feces (8, 14). Welters et al. studied the effect of inulin on patients with pouchitis. Compared to placebo-treated patients, 3 weeks of inulin supplementation led to a reduction of inflammation of the pouch mucosa. Furthermore, decreased numbers of Bacteroides fragilis were noted, but the concentration of bifidobacteria was not commented upon in that study (42).

Studies of the composition of the intestinal microflora have been hampered, however, because the majority of the members of the ecosystem have yet to be cultured under laboratory conditions (39). We used a nucleic acid-based screening method to monitor the impact of treatments on the composition of the microflora to overcome this problem. PCR in combination with denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) detects the numerically predominant members of the microflora without the need for bacterial culture (44).

The aim of our study was to evaluate the anti-inflammatory and microflora-modulating effect of a pre- and probiotic preparation containing inulin and four bacterial species by using the TG HLA-B27 rat model of spontaneous colitis.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Preparation and administration of the probiotic.** The Symbiotic Instant Mixture (SIM; Nutrchem Diät-Pharma GmbH, Roth, Germany) consists of the probiotic compound inulin, a polysaccharide, and the probiotic microorganisms L. acidophilus La-5 and Bifidobacterium lactis Bb-12. The manufacturer’s claim of a total bacterial concentration of ca. $8 \times 10^7$ CFU/ml could be confirmed, and the preparation of the product was standardized according to the company’s instructions. Briefly, a covered bowl containing 150 g of a lyophilized powder, mixed with lukewarm nonchlorinated tap water (500 ml), was placed inside an isolated container, which was filled with boiling-hot water; this was followed by incubation for 16 h. Since the bacterial concentration of SIM and the specific activity of metronidazole remained stable for up to 4 days, the drinking-water, probiotic, and antibiotic solutions were replenished twice weekly and were fed ad libitum, leading to a daily concentration of ca. $2 \times 10^6$ CFU of probiotic microorganism/rats as calculated by measuring the water consumption of the animals. To reduce the photodegradation of SIM and metronidazole, darkened bottles were used.

**Animals.** HLA-B27−/−, microglobulin TG rats and nontransgenic (NT) controls of the same breed (Fischer F344) were obtained from Taconic, Inc. (Germantown, Wis.) and housed individually in isolated, ventilated cages on standard bedding. All rats were fed standard rat chow ad libitum. The use of the animals was approved by the animal care committee of the local government.

**Treatment protocols.** TG animals ($n = 5$) group at the age of 8 weeks, which is prior to the onset of colitis, received either tap water or SIM for 2 months. To validate the experiments and to confirm the data with previous observations, several control groups were added: (i) NT rats without treatment to serve as negative controls ($n = 5$); (ii) NT rats with SIM to prove by body weight that the caloric uptake with SIM did not differ from tap water ($n = 5$); (iii) TG rats treated with metronidazole ($n = 5$; 50 mg/kg [body weight]; Bayer Vital, Leverkusen, Germany) to confirm the bacterial influence on this model as reported previously (24); and (iv) TG rats ($n = 5$) treated with SIM and metronidazole (Bayer Vital, Leverkusen, Germany) to test whether modification of the bacterial flora by antibiotics, prebiotics, and probiotics simultaneously would enhance the beneficial effect of the single compound treatment or counteract its effects. After 8 weeks of treatment, the animals were weighed and then killed by CO$_2$ asphyxiation; intestinal tissue was removed for histological analysis of the inflammation and determination of the colonic myeloperoxidase (MPO) activity and the concentration of colonic IL-1β. Cecal contents were removed aseptically for analysis of the microflora.

**Histological grading of colitis.** Colonic tissues were removed for histological analysis. The tissues were cut into 5-μm slices (colon and rectum) and stained with hematoxylin and eosin as previously described (25). The sections were scored blindly by two investigators (H. C. Rath and M. Schultz) for histological evidence of inflammation with a scoring system described in detail previously (25). In brief, the tissue samples were assessed for edema, the influx of inflammatory cells, damage to the mucosal architecture, crypt abscesses, and ulcerations on a scale from 0 to 4.

**Determination of MPO activity.** Methods of tissue preparation and the assay of MPO activity (units/gram of tissue weight) were described previously (11).

**Determination of IL-1β in colonic tissue by quantitative reverse transcription-PCR.** Approximately 1 cm of the colon was taken and IL-1β RNA concentration was measured as described previously (23). In brief, the tissue was placed in an ice-cold RNAlater solution (Ambion, Austin, Tex.). RNA was extracted by using the RNAeasy kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) in combination with the Qiagen Shredder kit according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. Quantification of cytokine RNA was performed by using a light cycle (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. All primers (IL-1β, F100; IL-1β, R450) were purchased from MWG-
Analysis of the intestinal microflora. To assess the effect of the treatments on the composition of the intestinal microflora, the cecal content was removed aseptically and stored frozen until analysis.

DNA extraction. Nucleic acid extraction was performed essentially as described by Walter et al. (41). Briefly, 100 mg of cecal content was weighed into a sterile tube containing 300 mg of sterile zirconium beads (diameter, 0.1 mm) and suspended in 1 ml of TN150 buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl [pH 8.0]). The suspension was vortexed thoroughly and centrifuged at 14,600 × g for 5 min. The supernatant was discarded, and the pellet washed twice with 1 ml of TN150 buffer. After the final wash, the pellet was suspended in 1 ml of TN150 buffer. The cells were lysed by physical disruption in a mini-bead beater (Biospec Products, Bartlesville, Okla.) at 5,000 rpm for 3 min and placed on ice to cool. Subsequently, the samples were extracted three times with phenol and chloroform-isoamyl alcohol, and the DNA was precipitated with 2 volumes of cold ethanol (−20°C) and 0.1 volume of 3 M sodium acetate and then stored overnight at −20°C. The DNA was collected by centrifugation at 14,600 × g for 20 min at −4°C, and the pellet was dried at 37°C for 1 h. Finally, DNA was dissolved in 30 μl of TE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA, [pH 7.5]).

PCR amplification with universal bacterial primers and group-specific primers. Amplification of target DNA was performed by using TaqDNA polymerase (Roche) and a PCR-Express thermal cycler (Hybaid, Teddington, United Kingdom). PCR amplifications of total bacterial community DNA were carried out with the primer pair HDA1-GC-HDA2 and a PCR thermocycling program as described previously (41). In order to specifically detect lactic acid bacteria in cecal samples, amplifications with group-specific primers were conducted. Lactic acid bacteria-specific primers (Lac primers) Lac1 and Lac2-GC were used according to the procedure reported previously (40). In order to identify the PCR amplicons obtained with the Lac primers and to supplement identifications made by sequencing fragments eluted from gel slices, an identification ladder was prepared consisting of the following reference strains listed in order of migration distance in the DGGE gel: *L. plantarum* (ATCC 14917T), *L. johnsonii* (ATCC 33200T), *L. gasseri* (ATCC 33235T), *L. acidophilus* (ATCC 43567T), *L. crispatus* (ATCC 33820T), *L. salivarius* (ATCC 11741T), *L. ruminis* (ATCC 27780T), *L. reuteri* (DSM 20016T), and *L. delbrueckii* subsp. *bulgaricus*, *Streptococcus thermophilus*, *B. lactis* Bb-12, and *B. lactis* Bb-25. As an internal control (D sc), *L. reuteri* (DSM 20016T) was added as a marker in the PCR reaction. In order to identify the PCR products, the DGGE gel was stained with ethidium bromide, and bands were excised aseptically from the polyacrylamide gel, placed in 1 ml of diffusion buffer (QIAEX II; 0.5 M ammonium acetate, 10 mM magnesium acetate, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate [pH 8.0]), and incubated overnight at 4°C to allow elution of the DNA. Recovery of DNA was performed by using the QIAEX II kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Eluted DNA was detected with ethidium bromide staining of agarose gels before storage at −18°C.

Identification of bacteria by sequencing PCR-DGGE fragments. DNA fragments of interest were excised aseptically from the polyacrylamide gel, placed in 100 μl of diffusion buffer (QIAEX II; 0.5 M ammonium acetate, 10 mM magnesium acetate, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate [pH 8.0]), and incubated overnight at 4°C to allow elution of the DNA. Recovery of DNA was performed by using the QIAEX II kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Cloning and sequencing of the eluted fragments were achieved by using the protocols described by Knarreborg et al. (15). Sequencing was carried out by the Centre for Gene Research, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand. The sequences (~200 bp) retrieved were compared to GenBank database by using the basic local alignment search tool (BLAST) algorithm (40).

Culture of SIM bacteria. SIM powder, labeled as containing *L. acidophilus*, *L. delbrueckii* subsp. *bulgaricus*, *Streptococcus thermophilus*, *B. lactis* Bb-12, and *B. lactis* Bb-25, was suspended in sterile water, and 10-fold dilutions were prepared to 10−3. Portions (100 μl) of each dilution were spread plated onto plates of M17 agar (Difco Laboratories, Detroit, Mich.), Lactobacillus MRS agar (Difco), and Rogosa SL agar (Difco). Sets of plates were incubated aerobically, microaerobically, or anaerobically at 30, 37, or 42°C. *L. acidophilus* and *B. lactis* Bb-12 were the only bacteria that were isolated. DNA was extracted from pure cultures of these bacteria as described previously (41) and used to generate PCR amplimers that served as markers in DGGE gels.

Statistical analysis. Data are expressed as means ± the standard error of the mean. Statistical analysis for significant differences was performed by using analysis of variance, the Student t test for parametric samples, and the Mann-Whitney test for nonparametric samples. Whenever a significant difference was found, the Student-Newman-Keuls test was used to determine which pair of means was significantly different.
TABLE 1. D<sub>s</sub> values from a comparison of the cecal microflora profiles of rats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group (treatment)</th>
<th>Mean intragroup, rat-to-rat variation (D&lt;sub&gt;s&lt;/sub&gt; [SEM])*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NT (untreated)</td>
<td>68.3 (2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG (untreated)</td>
<td>69.4 (4.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NT (SIM)</td>
<td>81.5 (3.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG (SIM)</td>
<td>75.0 (8.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG (metronidazole)</td>
<td>74.1 (5.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG (SIM + metronidazole)</td>
<td>75.2 (1.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values are expressed as percentages (Dice’s similarity coefficient).

**RESULTS**

Impact on severity of colitis. TG rats developed a spontaneous chronic colitis as revealed by loose stool and histological evidence of inflammation (2.9 ± 0.1 versus 0.1 ± 0.1; P < 0.001 versus NT; Fig. 1) at the age of 4 months, confirmed by MPO activity (0.741 ± 0.149 versus 0.214 ± 0.034; P < 0.001 versus NT) and IL-1β level (122 × 10<sup>−6</sup> ± 9 × 10<sup>−6</sup> versus 9 × 10<sup>−6</sup> ± 4 × 10<sup>−6</sup>; P < 0.008 versus NT). Prophylactic treatment with SIM reduced the severity of spontaneous chronic colitis in TG rats compared to untreated TG controls, as demonstrated by histology (2.2 ± 0.2 versus 2.9 ± 0.1; P < 0.03; Fig. 1 and 2). The influence of the commensal flora on the chronic colitis was confirmed by the beneficial effect of preventive administration of metronidazole on the degree of histological intestinal inflammation (2.0 ± 0.1 versus 2.9 ± 0.1; P < 0.001 versus TG rats) confirmed by colonic IL-1β (5 × 10<sup>−6</sup> ± 1 × 10<sup>−6</sup> versus 122 × 10<sup>−6</sup> ± 9 × 10<sup>−6</sup>; P < 0.008 versus TG rats). However, the simultaneous treatment with metronidazole and SIM did not result in additional benefit compared to metronidazole or SIM alone (data not shown). There was no difference in body weight between NT animals treated with SIM and those receiving plain water, suggesting that SIM did not influence caloric uptake (data not shown).

Impact on the intestinal microflora. Comparison of cecal microflora profiles of individual rats. The D<sub>s</sub> values (Table 1) show that the cecal microflora profiles varied between animals within each group. Profiles of control animals were, on average, about 70% similar. The cecal microflora profiles were very similar (80%) in NT rats fed SIM, but there was considerable variation between the profiles of TG rats fed SIM (56% similar). Profiles of TG rats administered metronidazole or metronidazole plus SIM showed animal-to-animal variation similar to that of the control animals.

**Comparison of cecal microflora profiles of treatment groups.** Group consensus profiles generated from pooled DNAs revealed alterations in the composition of the cecal microflora according to treatment (Table 2). Sample replicates intragel were >80% similar. In summary, feeding SIM had a greater effect on the consensus profiles than did metronidazole.

TG and NT consensus profiles differed mainly in the presence of a fragment originating from *B. animalis* in the TG group (Fig. 3, H2/4). Furthermore, rats fed SIM had *B. animalis* fragments that were of greater staining intensity than those of non-SIM-fed animals (Fig. 3, H5/14 relative to H2/4). The interpretation of increased staining intensity was appropriate because a fragment of an unidentified bacterium (Fig. 3, arrows) had the same intensity of staining in all of the profiles and thus acted as an internal control. The increased intensity of staining was not due to the *B. lactis* Bb-12 content of SIM because the DNA fragment representative of this culture migrated to a different location in the gel than that of *B. animalis* (Fig. 4). Interestingly, the TG consensus profile of rats administered metronidazole lacked a fragment originating from a *Clostridium* species (Fig. 3, H2/3). Other sequence analyses of DNA fragments eluted from the DGGE gels showed that many of the bacteria were of yet-to-be-cultivated species of gut origin (Fig. 3 and Table 3).

**Detection of lactic acid bacteria and SIM isolates in cecal samples of rat groups.** Group consensus profiles generated from pooled DNAs by using the Lac primers showed a high
degree of similarity between the profiles of the various groups (Fig. 5). Resident *L. johnsonii* and *L. reuteri* were present in all groups and were identified by reference to the identification ladder. Other unidentified lactic acid bacteria were present in all of the animals. *L. acidophilus* (Fig. 4) fed with SIM was not detectable in the profiles.

**DISCUSSION**

Histological observations and to some extent of colonic IL-1β levels showed that SIM administration reduced the severity of colitis in the TG rats relative to control animals. The reduced severity was associated with alterations to the microflora profiles generated from large bowel samples collected from the animals.

The cecal microflora of TG animals differed from that of NT rats principally in the presence of a DNA fragment originating from *B. animalis*. The intensity of staining of this fragment was increased in TG and NT animals administered SIM, probably reflecting the prebiotic effect of inulin, known to stimulate bifidobacterial numbers when administered as a dietary supplement (9). *B. animalis* was at undetectable levels in the bowel of NT rats but apparently increased to detectable numbers when SIM was administered. The major effect of SIM administration, however, was in increasing the diversity among microflora profiles of TG rats. This may reflect poor homeostatic regulation in the bowel ecosystem of TG rats, relative to that of NT rats, which was therefore more susceptible to change when

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clone</th>
<th>Identification (origin)</th>
<th>Identity (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H1/1</td>
<td>Uncultured bacterium clone p-57-a5 (pig gut); uncultured bacterium adhufec 25 (human gut); unidentified rumen bacterium RCR10 (rumen); unidentified rumen bacterium RC20 (rumen)</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H1/2</td>
<td>Unidentified rumen bacterium (rumen)</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2/3</td>
<td><em>Clostridium</em> species strain ASF 356 (mouse gut)</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2/4</td>
<td><em>B. animalis</em></td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2/5</td>
<td>Unidentified bacterium clone p-195-05 (pig gut)</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3/6</td>
<td>Uncultured bacterium clone p-195-05 (pig gut)</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3/7</td>
<td>Uncultured bacterium clone 616-a5 (pig gut); uncultured bacterium clone p-2743-24E5 (pig gut); uncultured bacterium clone p-1590-e3 (pig gut); uncultured bacterium adhufec 225 (human gut); uncultured bacterium adhufec 150 (human gut); uncultured bacterium clone adhufec 225 (human gut); uncultured bacterium adhufec 150 (human gut); uncultured bacterium clone adhufec 225 (human gut); uncultured bacterium adhufec 150 (human gut); uncultured bacterium clone adhufec 225 (human gut); uncultured bacterium adhufec 150 (human gut); uncultured bacterium clone adhufec 225 (human gut); uncultured bacterium adhufec 150 (human gut); uncultured bacterium clone adhufec 225 (human gut); uncultured bacterium adhufec 150 (human gut); uncultured bacterium clone adhufec 225 (human gut); uncultured bacterium adhufec 150 (human gut); uncultured bacterium clone adhufec 225 (human gut); uncultured bacterium adhufec 150 (human gut); uncultured bacterium clone adhufec 225 (human gut); uncultured bacterium adhufec 150 (human gut)</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H4/7A</td>
<td><em>Flexistipes</em> group UNSWp12 (mouse gut)</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H4/8</td>
<td>Unidentified ruminbacterium 12-111 (rumen)</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H5/10</td>
<td>Uncultured bacterium clone HuCB6 (human gut)</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H5/11</td>
<td>Uncultured <em>Bacteroides</em> species (human gut)</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H5/13</td>
<td>Uncultured bacterium clone p-1763-b3 (pig gut)</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H5/14</td>
<td><em>B. animalis</em></td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*FIG. 4. DGGE gel showing profiles generated from DNA samples with the universal bacterial primers HDA1-GC and HDA2. Lane 1, TG SIM; lane 2, *B. lactis* Bb-12 culture from SIM; lane 3, *L. acidophilus* cultured from SIM. Arrow indicates *B. animalis* DNA fragment.*

*FIG. 5. DGGE gel showing profiles generated from pooled DNA samples (n = 5) by using the lactic acid bacteria group-specific primers Lac1 and Lac2-GC. Lane 1, *Lactobacillus* identification ladder; lane 2, NT control; lane 3, TG control; lane 4, TG metronidazole; lane 5, NT SIM; lane 6, TG SIM; lane 7, TG SIM-metronidazole; lane 8, *L. acidophilus* cultured from SIM.*

TABLE 3. Identification of bacterial origins of DNA fragments in DGGE gels by BLAST search
inulin in the SIM was administered. It is unlikely that the bacterial components of SIM were important in reducing the severity of colitis because only two of the four bacterial species used in the preparation of the product could be cultivated, and neither of these species (L. acidophilus and B. lactis Bb-12) were detected in the microflora profiles when universal bacterial PCR primers were used. This indicated that they were <10^9 CFU/g of cecal content (44). Nor was L. acidophilus detected in the cecal profiles when group-specific PCR primers were used that have a lower limit of detection of 10^6 CFU/g (40). These findings suggest inulin as the primarily effective compound in this preparation. An anti-inflammatory effect of feeding prebiotics was also shown for this animal model in a recent study by Yacyszyn et al. with carboxymethyl cellulose (43). The beneficial effect of a modulation of the colonic bacterial composition on the chronic intestinal inflammation in HLA-B27 TG rats is consistent with previous findings. It has been shown that adding B. vulgaris to a cocktail with five defined anaerobic bacterial strains introduced in germfree HLA-B27 TG rats resulted in severe colitis and gastritis, whereas the same bacterial cocktail without B. vulgaris had no proinflammatory effect (25). Moreover, variation of the cecal bacterial composition by creating a self-filling blind loop revealed a relative overgrowth of obligate anaerobic bacteria, especially Bacteroides spp., with a consecutive exacerbation of colitis (26). Madsen et al. demonstrated in IL-10−/− mice a beneficial effect of broad-spectrum antibiotic therapy, including metronidazole, on spontaneous chronic colitis by reducing Clostridium spp. below detectable levels and increasing Lactobacillus spp. (21).

Metronidazole administration reduces the severity of colitis (24), probably due to its antibacterial activity leading to the removal of a DNA fragment originating from a rodent Clostridium species from the bacterial profiles in rats. Metronidazole has also been reported to have, itself, anti-inflammatory activity, which could explain the more stable composition of the microflora of rats administered both SIM and metronidazole relative to SIM alone (1).

Three avenues of research emerge from the present study. First, the role of B. animalis in the etiology of colitis should be investigated in gnotobiotic rat studies. This species was undetectable in NT rats fed standard laboratory chow but was detectable in TG animals fed the same diet and even increased in animals fed SIM, leading to ameliorated intestinal inflammation. Perhaps the inflamed conditions pertaining to the TG bowel provide conditions in which the bifidobacteria proliferate. Second, the role of clostridia in the pathogenesis of colitis should be investigated since a Clostridium species was detected in untreated animals but not in metronidazole-treated rats. Third, a dose-response investigation of dietary supplementation with inulin could be helpful as to its ability to modulate the composition of the bowel microflora in relation to the severity of colitis.
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