Adrenomedullin Expression by Gastric Epithelial Cells in Response to Infection
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Many surface epithelial cells express adrenomedullin, a multifunctional peptide found in a wide range of body and cell systems. Recently, we and others have proposed that adrenomedullin has an important novel role in host defense. This peptide has many properties in common with other cationic antimicrobial peptides, including the human β-defensins. Upon exposure of human gastric epithelial cells to viable cells of invasive or noninvasive strains of Helicobacter pylori, Escherichia coli, Salmonella enterica, or Streptococcus bovis, a significant increase in adrenomedullin secretion from these cells was demonstrated. Adrenomedullin gene expression was also increased in response to these microorganisms. Similar observations were noted when these cells were incubated with proinflammatory cytokines such as interleukin 1α (IL-1α), IL-6, tumor necrosis factor alpha and lipopolysaccharide. In cultured cells and an animal infection model, increased adrenomedullin peptide and gene expression was demonstrated when exposed to E. coli or Mycobacterium paratuberculosis, respectively. The data suggest there is a strong association between epithelial infection, inflammation, and adrenomedullin expression, which may have clinical relevance. The regulation of adrenomedullin expression may have therapeutic applications, such as improving or enhancing mucosal immunity.

The epithelium constitutes a critical protective interface between external and internal environments and provides the first line of defense against potentially pathogenic microorganisms. In health, the surfaces of higher eukaryotes such as plants, invertebrates, and vertebrates, including humans, possess a normal microflora, which causes no damage to its host. The reason, apart from physical barriers, includes the production of gene-encoded antimicrobial peptides by epithelial cells (17, 39). Studies at the mRNA level suggest that gene expression for these peptides occurs in a rather tissue- or organ-specific manner, which probably relates to their antimicrobial spectra and conditions of expression, and may also define the local microflora (10, 14, 17, 39). Some epithelial antimicrobial peptides are constitutively expressed; others are inducible either directly by the presence of microorganisms or by endogenous proinflammatory cytokines (4, 10, 17, 39). Lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-activated intracellular signaling pathways, which result in NF-κB-mediated induction of human β-defensin-2 (hBD-2), are initiated through CD14 binding (17) and interactions with Toll-like receptors. The role of bacterial components other than LPS in antimicrobial peptide induction has not been well studied, although Krisanaprakornkit et al. (24) observed that LPS was a poor inducer of hBD-2 synthesis by oral epithelial cells and that the up-regulation seen probably occurred via CD14-independent pathways.

There is increasing evidence that antimicrobial peptides are multifunctional molecules of fundamental importance in host defense, serving as signaling molecules communicating between the innate and adaptive immune systems (10, 17).

Similarly, inhibition of antimicrobial peptide expression in amphibians increased infection and reduced the control of commensal organisms (40). In humans and mammals, concentrations of neutrophil and epithelial antimicrobial peptides increase to significant levels following infection (17) or injury (11). Overexpression of the human peptide LL-37 resulted not only in the reduced ability of Pseudomonas aeruginosa to colonize the murine lung epithelium and in reduced inflammation and susceptibility to septic shock (3) but also in increased killing of P. aeruginosa in a cystic fibrosis xenograft model (2).

Adrenomedullin (AM) is a multifunctional peptide (19), and studies from our laboratory and others have shown that AM is expressed in key mucosal surfaces and is emerging as an important effector molecule in host defense (1, 17, 22, 34, 42, 43). AM is found in picomolar concentrations in plasma which rise in conditions such as renal failure, hypertension, and septic shock (19, 35). AM is produced by a wide variety of tissues and cells, and it has been demonstrated to accumulate in the apical regions of the normal human bronchial epithelium, in human skin, and in the skin of the Xenopus laevis toad (15, 19, 23, 31, 32). Cameron and Fleming demonstrated the localization of AM mRNA in epithelial cells lining the uterus, bronchioles, and gastrointestinal tract in mice and rats and also indicated novel roles for AM, possibly as an antimicrobial agent (6). AM has many properties in common with other cationic antimicrobial peptides, including hBD-2. Such molecules are defined as peptides of 12 to 50 amino acids with a net positive charge of +2 to +7 (17). Apart from human AM being 52 amino acid residues in length, AM also has a net positive charge owing to an excess of basic amino acids. Both AM and hBD-2 have an amphipathic design, consisting of spatially separated hydrophobic and charged regions, which permit intercalation with bacterial membranes. Chemically, therefore, AM does resemble an antimicrobial peptide; however, its mode of interaction...
with bacteria is unknown. AM has 30% homology at the genetic level to the ceropin group of antimicrobial peptides and, therefore, might have a similar pore-forming mechanism of action (7).

It is well documented that circulatory levels of AM are raised in many disease states, particularly in sepsis (36). However, to date, there has not been a thorough study of AM expression in models of microbial infection. We have carried out in vitro and in vivo investigations of AM expression, including the use of intestinal epithelium from cows with bovine paratuberculosis, a chronic inflammatory disease caused by infection of the ileum with *Mycobacterium paratuberculosis*.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Organisms and culture conditions. *Helicobacter pylori* NCTC 11637 (noninvasive, cagA positive), *Escherichia coli* E35990/O143 (enteroinvasive), *E. coli* DH5α (noninvasive), *Salmonella enterica* serovar Dublin J1738 (enteroinvasive), *Streptococcus bovis* NCTC 8133 (infant feces, noninvasive), and *S. bovis* ATCC 9809 (infant feces, noninvasive). All cultures were stored for the long term at −70°C on beads in skim milk (Oxoid L31). For experiments, cultures were used at a density of 2 × 10⁵ CFU/ml in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS).

Cell culture. The human gastric adenocarcinoma cell line AGS (ATCC CRL-1739) was maintained in T 75-cm² culture flasks containing RPMI supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS) and routine antibiotics in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂ and 95% air at 37°C. Twenty-four hours before experiments were carried out, 70% confluent T 75-cm² flasks of cells were rendered quiescent by placing them in serum-free medium. On the day of experiments, cells were washed in sterile PBS and incubated with 1 ml of microbial suspension in 2 ml of serum-free medium or with various other agents as described in the text. Flasks were then placed in the incubator for various lengths of time as described in Results.

Measurement of AM. Flasks of cells were incubated with *E. coli* E35990, *E. coli* DH5α, *H. pylori*, *S. enterica* serovar Dublin, *S. bovis* ATCC 8133, or *S. bovis* ATCC 9809 for 0, 1, 4, 8, or 24 h. After these incubation periods, culture supernatants were harvested and stored at −20°C until assayed for AM by enzyme immunoassay (Phoenix Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Belmont, Calif.) (1). Similar experiments were carried out with interleukin 1α (IL-1α) (20 ng/ml), IL-6 (20 ng/ml), tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α) (20 ng/ml), and LPS (*E. coli* O111: B4, 2 μg/ml).

RNA analysis. From the experiments described above, optimum incubation periods were determined. Cells were incubated with the various microorganisms for 1 h or with the cytokines or LPS for 4 h. After these incubation periods, total RNA was extracted and 10 μg of total RNA was electrophoresed in a formaldehyde–1% agarose gel and transferred to a Hybond-N nylon membrane (Amersham). After fixation by UV cross-linking, the membrane was hybridized (and washed in sterile PBS and incubated with 1 ml of microsomal suspension in 2 ml of serum-free medium or with various other agents as described in the text). Flasks were then placed in the incubator for various lengths of time as described in Results.

Western blotting. Cells were homogenized in a lysis buffer containing 50 mM NaCl, 25 mM Tris-Cl (pH 8.1), 0.5% Nonidet P-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 10 μg of leupeptin/ml, 10 μg of pepstatin/ml, and 25 μg of aprotinin/ml. The homogenate was centrifuged at 10,000 × g, and the resulting supernatant was used for Western blot analysis. Twenty micrograms of protein was heated to 99°C for 4 min, loaded into sample wells, resolved on a 10 to 20% Tricine sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel (Novex, San Diego, Calif.), and run at 120 V for 2 h. Transfer blotting was accomplished by using the same apparatus, and proteins were transferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane (Immobilon; Millipore, Watford, United Kingdom) at 50 V for 4 h. Membranes were blocked overnight at 4°C in a solution of 5% dried milk in PBS containing 0.1% Tween 20. Membranes were then washed, incubated for 60 min at room temperature in a 1:100 dilution of rabbit anti-AM polyclonal antibody, washed three times in PBS, and incubated in 1:200 goat anti-rabbit biotinylated immunoglobulin G (Vector Laboratories) for 60 min at room temperature. Membranes were washed three times in PBS, and the signal was amplified and detected by using enhanced chemiluminescence following the manufacturer's instructions (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech).

Collection of paratuberculosis-infected tissue. Paratuberculosis-infected tissue was collected from two cows testing positive for *M. paratuberculosis* by cultures of feces and ileum samples. Paratuberculosis-free tissue was obtained from two cows from herds certified to be negative for the disease.

**RESULTS**

Cytokines, LPS, and microorganisms increase AM production from AGS cells. Figures 1 and 2 show that these cells constitutively secrete AM since unstimulated levels of AM were about 12 pmol/10⁶ cells. Figure 1 illustrates the effects of LPS and various cytokines on AM secretion from the gut epithelial cell line AGS. It shows that all agents tested, LPS (2 μg/ml), IL-1α (20 ng/ml), IL-6 (20 ng/ml), and TNF-α (20 ng/ml), caused significant increases in AM production with sorbed to the antigen it was raised against and served as a negative control. Photographs were taken of the stained cells.

In situ hybridization. In situ hybridization was performed as described previously (21). The full-length AM cDNA was ligated into the expression vector pcDNA1 and used to generate digoxigenin-labeled sense and antisense riboprobes. Tissue sections were hybridized in a humidified chamber at 46°C for 20 h in a 20-μl volume containing 2.5 ng of probe/ml. Visualization of probes was performed with a digoxigenin detection kit (Roche Diagnostics Ltd., Lewes, United Kingdom).

**Statistical analysis.** Arithmetic means and standard errors of the means (n = 3). ***, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001 compared to nonexposure (by analysis of variance).
time. Exposure to these agents for 4 h proved to be the optimum time point in terms of AM response, with LPS and TNF-\(\alpha\) being the most potent stimulators of AM production, causing a sixfold increase in AM release. Figure 2 shows the effects of \(E.\ coli\) E35990, \(E.\ coli\) DH5\(\alpha\), \(H.\ pylori\), \(S.\ enterica\) serovar Dublin, \(S.\ bovis\) NCTC 8133, and \(S.\ bovis\) ATCC 9809 on AM production from these cells. It can be seen that significant levels of AM were secreted from the gut epithelial cells in response to all microorganisms tested. Cells were exposed over a period of 24 h, but as can be seen from Fig. 2, a 1-h exposure was enough to elicit a maximum, significant production of AM. Incubation with \(H.\ pylori\) caused the most significant (4.5-fold) response followed by \(E.\ coli\) E35990 and \(E.\ coli\) DH5\(\alpha\), with \(S.\ enterica\) serovar Dublin and the 2 \(Streptococcus\) spp. being the least effective (increases between 1- to 2- to 3-fold).

**Exposure to cytokines, LPS, and microorganisms caused increased expression of AM and hBD-2 genes.** Northern blot analysis was used to study the effect of cytokines, LPS, and the pathogens on AM gene mRNA levels. Figure 3 shows that AM mRNA is constitutively expressed in these cells. Exposure for 4 h to IL-1\(\alpha\), IL-6, TNF-\(\alpha\) (all at 20 ng/ml), or LPS (2 \(\mu\)g/ml) elicited a similar level of increased AM gene expression, with LPS causing the least degree of augmentation. Figure 3 also shows that a 1-h exposure to all the microorganisms used in this study resulted in significant increases in AM mRNA expression. The invasive species of \(E.\ coli\) used here was particularly potent in stimulating AM gene levels.

Figure 4 illustrates the expression of hBD-2 mRNA in gut epithelial cells. The gene was expressed constitutively, albeit at a very weak level; however, upon exposure to all microorganisms used in this study, there was significant induction of hBD-2. The only exception to this observation was to the cytokine IL-1\(\alpha\); there appeared to be no increase of the hBD-2 gene in AGS cells upon exposure to IL-1\(\alpha\), if anything there may be a reduction in gene expression.

**AGS cells produce AM in response to \(E.\ coli\).** When gut epithelial cells from the AGS cell were serum deprived, AM levels were barely detectable by immunocytochemical techniques as demonstrated in Fig. 5B. When these cells were incubated in the presence of either the invasive (E35990) or
noninvasive (DH) strains of E. coli for 4 h there was positive staining for AM peptide as illustrated in Fig. 5C and D, respectively. Figure 5A represents AGS cells stained in the presence of preabsorbed primary antibody (negative control). This experiment was repeated for Western blot analysis. Figure 6 illustrates more clearly that there appears to be a difference in the levels of AM protein expressed by these cells when exposed to either invasive or noninvasive species of E. coli. Lane 1 shows that there is constitutive expression of AM protein, which is eliminated when the antibody was preabsorbed to a blocking peptide (lane 3). Lanes 2 and 4 are immunoblots for AM peptide from AGS cells exposed to E. coli E35990 or DH, respectively. There is a large increase in protein expression in response to either microorganism with the invasive species of E. coli (E35990) having the greater effect.

Increased expression of AM in an animal model of infection. Figure 7 illustrates the expression of AM in the ileum of paratuberculosis-infected cattle. Hybridization of an AM antisense probe revealed a high signal in an area corresponding to the mucosal epithelium (Fig. 7D). Hybridization with ileum derived from noninfected cows showed relatively little signal (Fig. 7B). Examination of AM expression in gastrointestinal epithelium revealed significantly higher mRNA levels in infected versus noninfected cows. Figures 7A and C are control hybridizations with sense AM probes.

DISCUSSION

The data presented in this study show that gut epithelial cells secrete AM basally and there is a significant increase in production upon exposure to a number of gastric pathogens. In addition, it is clear that the ability to stimulate AM production in these gut epithelial cells is not limited to whether bacteria are gram positive or negative or due to their invasive ability.

If AM is to be considered a peptide antibiotic, then it is important to demonstrate its induction, or increased expression, upon contact with different microorganisms. In the presence of the gastric pathogens H. pylori, enteroinvasive and noninvasive E. coli, S. enterica serovar Dublin, and S. bovis, significant upregulation of AM expression in human gastric epithelial cells was demonstrated. Such interactions between bacteria and AM may be important in selecting the diverse range of microorganisms colonizing the gastric mucosa and other sites and in regulating the pathogenic potential of some organisms.

In addition, and perhaps of greater importance, we have shown that AM is greatly enhanced in disease states. Examination of AM mRNA expression in gut epithelium is increased in infected animals compared to noninfected ones. We investigated this in cows suffering from bovine paratuberculosis, a chronic inflammatory disease caused by infection of the ileum with M. paratuberculosis. Clinical signs of the disease include weight loss, muscle wastage, and chronic diarrhea (8). Tissues obtained from these animals also demonstrated characteristics indicating chronic infection such as the presence of inflammatory cells and mucosal thickening (data not shown). Many giant and epithelial cells often filled with mycobacteria are shed into

FIG. 5. Immunostaining for AM in AGS cells. Photographs represent the effect of exposure or nonexposure of the gut epithelial cells to either species of E. coli used in our studies. (A) Preabsorbed primary antibody (negative control) on nontreated cells; (B) nontreated cells; (C) cells exposed to 2 × 10^7 CFU of E. coli E35990/ml for 1 h; (D) cells exposed to 2 × 10^7 CFU of E. coli DH5α/ml. Antibody was used at a concentration of 1:100. Cells were fixed and stained as described in Materials and Methods. Magnification, ×56 for panels A, C, and D, and ×100 for panel B.

FIG. 6. Western blotting for AM peptide in AGS cells. Cells were exposed to either the invasive or noninvasive species of E. coli for 1 h, and cell extracts were immunoblotted for AM. Lane 1, nontreated cells; lane 2, cells exposed to E. coli E35990; lane 3, preabsorbed primary antibody (negative control) on nontreated cells; lane 4, cells exposed to E. coli DH5α.

FIG. 7. Expression of AM in ileal tissue of M. paratuberculosis-infected cows. Serial sections of a portion of the ileum of an infection-free cow (A and B) and an infected cow (C and D). A and C, in situ hybridization with sense AM probe; B and D, in situ hybridization with antisense AM probe. Magnification, ×20.
the intestinal lumen, particularly during the end stages of the disease. Like LPS, components of the mycobacterial cell wall might directly stimulate epithelial cells to produce AM. However, not all microorganisms used in this study contain LPS, and it is clear that increased AM protein and mRNA expression is not LPS dependent but probably involves other mechanisms. On the other hand, mycobacteria could influence gut epithelial AM expression indirectly via inflammatory cytokine production, as demonstrated in other cells. To lend support for the latter hypothesis, we have demonstrated that there is an increase in AM expression in AGS gastric epithelial cells in response to inflammatory mediators. This is an observation seen in skin and oral epithelial cells as well as endothelial and vascular smooth muscle cells (23, 41).

Until recently, the mucosal lining was regarded as a simple physical barrier preventing bacterial invasion and the escape of body fluids. However, it is clear that epithelial cells are highly dynamic cells with the ability to react to changes within their environment. They can interact with other cells and are important during processes such as inflammation and wound healing (26, 27), and they respond by secreting a range of growth factors and cytokines (28, 29). In contrast to the specific immune system, intestinal mucosal cells have developed several nonspecific defense systems for protection against enteropathogens (9, 20, 25, 30). The epithelial cells of intestinal crypts express many antimicrobial agents, such as defensins. However, Marutsuka and coworkers (34) demonstrated that immunoreactive AM was present in surface epithelia of the human colon. Localization of AM to this surface, in combination with its antimicrobial activities, lends strong evidence for its role in mucosal defense (1, 33, 36, 43), and we suggest that AM might be part of a panel of bacitracin peptides in the intestinal mucosa. Although the various antimicrobial peptides and proteins may differ in their molecular specificities and modes of action, they may act cooperatively by attacking multiple essential structures in bacteria or by unmasking structures vulnerable to other components of the mixture. The multiplicity of antimicrobial factors may also broaden the effectiveness of the mixture against the many potential microbial targets and decrease the likelihood of acquired resistance.

Similar studies with hBD-2 have been reported; this was, therefore, used as a control for our studies. Defensins are antimicrobial components of the innate host defense system in higher mammals (10, 14, 17, 39). We and others have shown that hBD-2 expression can be induced at mucosal sites (5, 22, 24, 37). When we studied hBD-2 mRNA levels in AGS cells, we found that these expressed hBD-2 constitutively, albeit at a low level (Fig. 4). This observation supports those found by O’Neill and coworkers (37). This may, of course, be a reflection of using a cell line, since hBD-2 in healthy gastric mucosa is not detectable by reverse transcription-PCR (16). However, a low level of hBD-2 mRNA is present in healthy epithelia, and its expression is greatly upregulated by inflammatory stimuli (18).

The association between epithelial infection, inflammation, and AM expression may have clinical relevance (12, 13, 33, 38). Elssasser and coworkers have suggested that AM has a role in disease stress. In their study they concluded that chronic low-level infection potentiated the severity of metabolic perturbations that arise with additive immune challenge, as can occur with bacterial toxins, and that AM could act as a potential marker for this phenomenon (13). The results described here support a role for AM as an important host effector molecule that is quickly mobilized by the epithelium upon injury. The response appears to be local in nature and may be occurring independently of circulating defending cells. This hypothesis is currently under investigation in our laboratory. These results have important implications in terms of diagnosis and treatment of disease. Production of antimicrobial peptides during host invasion could be used as a local marker of infection sites and subsequent diagnosis of disease. Additionally, regulating the expression of AM, which is not susceptible to existing mechanisms of resistance, may be useful in the prevention and treatment of disease.
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