Characterization of the Humoral Immune Response to Chlamydia Outer Membrane Protein 2 in Chlamydial Infection
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Chlamydiae are major human pathogens. Their unique and complex reproductive cycle can enable the effective evasion of the host’s defense mechanisms, leading to persisting infection. Immune responses stimulated by chlamydial infection can result in tissue damage and scar formation, particularly upon reinfection (reviewed in reference 8). Chlamydia trachomatis can cause eye or genitourinary infections and is the most common cause of preventable blindness in a “trachoma-belt” stretching from North Africa to Southeast Asia (serovars A to C) and is also a major reason for infertility in women due to chronic pelvic inflammatory disease (serovars D to K) (6, 20). More recently, Chlamydia pneumoniae, a common pathogen of respiratory infections, has been implicated in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis due to its persistence in vascular tissue (18). Early detection of chlamydial infection and antibiotic therapy can prevent subsequent sequelae in a majority of patients.

Antibody responses have been utilized in the diagnosis of chlamydial infections in addition to detection of chlamydia by culture from patients’ specimens, which is difficult and can only be performed in specialized laboratories, by detection of chlamydial genome by microbiological techniques (ligase chain reaction [LCR] or reverse transcription-PCR [RT-PCR]) or detection of chlamydial antigen by enzyme immunoassay (EIA). A microimmunofluorescence (MIF) test, which detects antibodies binding to Chlamydia elementary bodies (EBs), has long been considered to be the “gold standard” for the serodiagnosis of chlamydial infections (11). Alternative methods have been established, including various enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs), which are much easier to perform than MIF and suitable for large-scale testing. This raises the question of what are the best antigens to use in ELISA-based serological diagnosis. An ideal antigen would be one recognized by all patients infected by a particular species, for example C. trachomatis. Antibodies to the major outer membrane protein (or outer membrane protein 1 [OMP1]) distinguish between different C. trachomatis serovars, which is not always helpful since several serovars would be a preferable target for serodiagnosis. However, it would also be desirable to use an antigen that is not recognized as part of the immune response to other Chlamydia species. This is necessary given the high frequency of infection with C. pneumoniae in the normal population so that many patients with C. trachomatis infection will already have encountered C. pneumoniae.

The major advantage of MIF over all other known serodiagnostic tools in chlamydial infection is its claim to species specificity, although recent reports have argued against it (26). Currently available ELISAs also show cross-reactivity between different chlamydial species despite various attempts at removing or blocking genus-reactive epitopes from whole EB preparations, by using the deacylated carbohydrate backbone of a recombinant chlamydial lipopolysaccharide epitopes or a recently identified, not-yet-characterized peptide epitope (1, 2, 12–15, 17). We have therefore selected an antigen, which is immunodominant and well conserved in all known Chlamydia trachomatis serovars but with the potential to distinguish between species. In immunoblots, antibody responses to proteins of 40 kDa (major outer membrane protein) and 60 kDa have been described for both C. trachomatis and C. pneumoniae (1, 3, 7, 11, 16). Likely candidates for the 60-kDa reactivity are the heat shock protein 60 (hsp60) and OMP2. Immune responses to chlamydial hsp60 have shown that hsp60 is not suitable for the serodiagnosis of chlamydial infection (17). OMP2 shows considerable variability between the different chlamydial species but is highly conserved within C. trachomatis serovars and C. pneumoniae isolates (23, 24). Therefore, we have developed...
ELISAs by using recombinant *C. trachomatis* and *C. pneumoniae* OMP2 and tested them for their utility in the diagnosis of chlamydial infection.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Patients. The study population comprised eight different groups of patients (Table 1). The first group included 93 patients (age range, 13 to 60 years; median, 20 years) from The Gambia, a trachoma-endemic region. Of these, 27 (29%) had clinically active disease (11 with follicular trachoma, 5 with intense trachoma, and 11 with scarring trachoma) and 16 active disease plus positive results in an IDEAssay for *Chlamydia* LPS in tear fluid. The second group comprised 25 patients with suspected *C. trachomatis* infection attending the local outpatient clinic for genitourinary diseases. The third group consisted of four patients with chlamydia-associated reactive arthritis. From one of these patient serum samples were available from the onset of disease and over a subsequent period of 2 years. The fourth group consisted of four patients with a history of acute respiratory disease and proven *C. pneumoniae* infection. These sera were kindly made available by M. Sillis, Public Health Laboratory, Norwich, United Kingdom. From these patients, serum samples were available from 4 to 12 weeks and from 0.5 to 3 years after the onset of symptoms, whereas an additional serum sample was obtained from two of these patients during the acute illness. The fifth group comprised 14 patients with atherosclerosis of the carotid artery (age range, 55 to 88 years; median, 76 years) who were undergoing thrombendarterectomy. The sixth group included sera from 100 patients with stable angina pectoris (age range, 39 to 85 years; median, 68 years) who were otherwise healthy and had no previous history of myocardial infarction. The seventh group consisted of 100 blood donors visiting the local blood donor center; serum samples were supplied anonymously. The ages of the blood donors ranged from 20 to 55 years, with median age of 30 years. As negative controls, sera from 19 children aged 2 to 20 years (median, 22 years) were used to determine the threshold of positivity. Net absorbance was used as the reciprocal of the highest serum dilution nonreactive in ELISA. For the work, and all subsequent assays interpreted as follows. Seven serum samples with concentrations of 0.25 μg/ml and 100 μl were dispensed into each well of a microtiter plate (Nunc MaxiSorp). After incubation overnight at 4°C, plates were washed thrice with Tris buffer (0.01 M Tris 0.15 M NaCl) and 150 μl of blocking buffer (5% [vol/vol] sucrose and 5% [vol/vol] Tween 20 in Tris buffer) was added for 1 h to inhibit nonspecific binding. Sera were diluted 1:200 in blocking buffer, and the peroxidase-conjugated anti-human immunoglobulin G (IgG) antibody (Jackson ImmunoResearch, West Grove, Pa.) was diluted 1:10,000 in washing buffer (0.5% Tween 20 in Tris buffer). Then, 100 μl of diluted serum or antibody was added per well, followed by incubation at room temperature for 2 h. Finally, each plate was washed with washing buffer three times for 2 min. Next, 100 μl of K Blue substrate (Neogen, Lexington, Ky.) was placed in each well, and the mixtures were left at room temperature for 30 min. The enzyme reaction was stopped by the addition of 25 μl of 2 M H₂SO₄, and the *A*₄₅₀ value was read in a Labsystems Multiskan ELISA reader (Labsystems OY, Helsinki, Finland). Each serum was tested in duplicate both in antigen-coated and in noncoated wells. A positive reference serum and a negative reference serum, as judged by MIF and ELISA, were included on each plate. The net absorbances of test samples were corrected to the positive reference serum by multiplying the measured net absorbance by the net absorbance of the positive reference serum obtained when the standard curve was constructed divided by the net absorbance of the positive reference serum. The reproducibility was >95%.

The mean net absorbance (x) of 19 serum samples from 2- to 7-year-old children nonreactive in MIF assay for IgG antibodies to either *C. trachomatis* or *C. pneumoniae* were used to determine the threshold of positivity. Net absorbances of test samples of less than x + 2 standard deviations (SD) were considered negative, i.e., nonreactive.

Serum samples from blood donors positive in MIF and ELISA on *C. trachomatis* and *C. pneumoniae* were used to create standard curves at the beginning of the work, and all subsequent assays interpreted as follows. Seven serum samples were tested in serial twofold dilutions beginning at 1/25. The endpoint titer was defined as the reciprocal of the highest serum dilution nonreactive in ELISA. For each antigen and serum dilution, a linear regression line was fitted to the net absorbance as a function of the endpoint titer. The coefficients of linear correlation for the 1/100 dilution were 0.94 for *C. trachomatis* OMP2 and 0.96 for *C. pneumoniae* OMP2. In subsequent experiments, antibody titers were read off the respective standard curve, when the results resided in the linear range. In all other cases, the antibody titer was determined by twofold dilutions beginning at 1/100.

MIF. *C. trachomatis* and *C. pneumoniae* MIF was performed with a commercially available test kit (Labsystems OY) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

**RESULTS**

Antibodies to OMP2 in acute Chlamydia infection. (i) *C. trachomatis* infections. To determine the antibody responses to OMP2 in *C. trachomatis* infection, sera from two different
populations were tested: one group consisted of patients with infections generally caused by serovars A to C and the other group consisted of patients with infections generally caused by serovars D to K.

Sixteen patients sera from the Gambia were examined. All had follicular conjunctivitis and positive results in an IDEIA for *Chlamydia* LPS in tear fluid. All sixteen patients were positive in the *C. trachomatis* OMP2 ELISA. Twelve sera were from patients attending the local genitourinary outpatient clinic, whose urethral or vaginal swabs were positive for *Chlamydia* by EIA and LCR. Nine sera (75%) gave positive results in the *C. trachomatis* OMP2 ELISA. In addition, four sera from patients with sexually acquired reactive arthritis, two of which had culture-proven *C. trachomatis* infection, were tested. All sera were drawn shortly after the onset of symptoms. All four (100%) were positive in the *C. trachomatis* OMP2 ELISA (Fig. 1A).

(ii) *C. pneumoniae* infections. Four patients with acute respiratory infection (serologically and antigen positive for *C. pneumoniae*) were tested, all of whom gave positive results in the *C. pneumoniae* OMP2 ELISA (Fig. 1A).

Antibodies to OMP2 in healthy individuals, patients with ischemic heart disease, and inhabitants of a trachoma-endemic region. To determine the antibody response in a control population, sera from 100 healthy United Kingdom blood donors were tested by *C. trachomatis* and *C. pneumoniae* OMP2 ELISA. A total of 27 (27%) were positive on *C. trachomatis* OMP2, and 54 (54%) were positive on *C. pneumoniae* OMP2.
In contrast, sera from 93 individuals from The Gambia were examined by using C. pneumoniae OMP2 ELISA. A total of 70 (75%) gave positive results (Fig. 1B).

Species specificity of OMP2 ELISA. Sera from the patients shown in Fig. 1A were tested on both the homologous and the heterologous protein. In 25 of 33 (76%) cases, the antibody titers were higher on the homologous protein (data not shown).

Longitudinal studies. Serial serum samples were available from five patients, four patients with C. pneumoniae infection, and one patient with C. trachomatis. The level of antibodies against chlamydial OMP2 declined to background levels over a period of 1 to 2 years (Fig. 2).

Clinical applications of OMP2 ELISA. Chronic chlamydial infection has been implicated in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis, and most of the serological data supporting this theory were obtained by using MIF. We therefore tested sera from 14 patients with end-stage atherosclerosis who had undergone thrombendarterectomy of the carotid artery (age range, 55 to 88 years; median, 76 years) by ELISA and MIF. Only two (14%) of these patients were found to be positive by C. pneumoniae OMP2 ELISA, whereas nine (64%) of these patients were positive by MIF on C. pneumoniae EBs: three with titers of >1/512 and six with titers that were between 1/128 and 1/32 (data not shown).

A reliable serologic test for the diagnosis of chlamydial infection is not currently available. We have therefore established an ELISA with recombinant C. trachomatis and C. pneumoniae OMP2, since OMP2 is very well conserved within a chlamydial species but shows variable regions between different species (9, 22, 23, 24). Additionally, recombinant proteins have the advantage of being well-defined (in contrast to chlamydial EBs) and are easily applied to quantitative production.

OMP2 has been shown to be an immunodominant antigen leading to antibody responses both in humans and rabbits as detected by immunoblot (23, 25). A recent report has confirmed these findings by ELISA utilizing peptides from the variable N-terminal and constant C-terminal regions of C. trachomatis OMP2 (15). However, data concerning species specificity derived from these studies are controversial since in the ELISA study, denatured, truncated polypeptides were used, whereas in the immunoblotting study 60- or 62-kDa polypeptide bands reacting in immunoblot with serum antibodies were assumed to represent OMP2 but were not actually positively identified.

For the validation of this assay, sera were chosen from patients with both clinical evidence of chlamydial infection and unequivocal evidence of chlamydial infection as shown by culture, LCR, or EIA. In these patients we were able to demonstrate a high sensitivity for OMP2 ELISA with sera from patients with acute chlamydial infection, although only a relatively small group of patients with acute C. pneumoniae infection was available for analysis. These findings are in contrast with sensitivities reported for MIF and commercially available ELISAs, although a direct comparison is not possible since different gold standards were defined for each study (4, 21). The lowest sensitivity was calculated for C. trachomatis OMP2 ELISA with sera from patients attending the local gen-

FIG. 2. Longitudinal study showing the distribution of antibody titers of serial serum samples from four patients with acute C. pneumoniae and one patient with acute C. trachomatis infection. The cutoff was defined as the mean of negative control sera + 2 SD.

FIG. 3. Distribution of antibody titers to C. trachomatis OMP2 in sera from patients with suspected C. trachomatis-associated urethritis attending the local genitourinary clinic, whose vaginal or urethral swab tests were positive or negative for chlamydia by LCR and EIA. The cutoff was defined as the mean of negative control sera + 2 SD.

DISCUSSION

A reliable test for the serodiagnosis of chlamydial infection is not currently available. We have therefore established an ELISA with recombinant C. trachomatis and C. pneumoniae OMP2, since OMP2 is very well conserved within a chlamydial species but shows variable regions between different species (9, 22, 23, 24). Additionally, recombinant proteins have the advantage of being well-defined (in contrast to chlamydial EBs) and are easily applied to quantitative production.

OMP2 has been shown to be an immunodominant antigen leading to antibody responses both in humans and rabbits as detected by immunoblot (23, 25). A recent report has confirmed these findings by ELISA utilizing peptides from the variable N-terminal and constant C-terminal regions of C. trachomatis OMP2 (15). However, data concerning species specificity derived from these studies are controversial since in the ELISA study, denatured, truncated polypeptides were used, whereas in the immunoblotting study 60- or 62-kDa polypeptide bands reacting in immunoblot with serum antibodies were assumed to represent OMP2 but were not actually positively identified.

For the validation of this assay, sera were chosen from patients with both clinical evidence of chlamydial infection and unequivocal evidence of chlamydial infection as shown by culture, LCR, or EIA. In these patients we were able to demonstrate a high sensitivity for OMP2 ELISA with sera from patients with acute chlamydial infection, although only a relatively small group of patients with acute C. pneumoniae infection was available for analysis. These findings are in contrast with sensitivities reported for MIF and commercially available ELISAs, although a direct comparison is not possible since different gold standards were defined for each study (4, 21). The lowest sensitivity was calculated for C. trachomatis OMP2 ELISA with sera from patients attending the local gen-
Reports on the prevalence of IgG antibodies to C. pneumoniae as judged by MIF gave similar results (~50%) in young, healthy adults (19) compared to the results obtained by C. pneumoniae OMP2 ELISA in our blood donor group (54%). Whereas the prevalence of IgG antibodies to whole chlamydia reported for MIF increases with age (19), the prevalence of IgG antibodies to C. pneumoniae OMP2 as detected by ELISA decreases to 29%, which might be due to a lower sensitivity of ELISA compared to MIF, as proposed by Hermann et al. (10). Alternatively, this finding may be explained by the fact that the antibody response against C. pneumoniae recognized by MIF persist for a longer period of time (3), thus indicating chronic infection, than those recognized by OMP2 ELISA, as suggested by our results in the longitudinal study (Fig. 2).

Also, there was a marked difference in the results obtained by MIF and C. pneumoniae OMP2 ELISA in older patients with atherosclerosis (median age, 76 years). C. pneumoniae OMP2 ELISA may therefore be more useful in assessing how recently C. pneumoniae infection has occurred, in itself an important issue in the current discussion concerning the role of chlamydiae in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis.

Clearly, OMP2 ELISA is not species specific. Nevertheless, in 75% of cases the antibody titer was higher on the homologous protein. This suggests that there may be antibodies directed against the N-terminal region which shows sequence variation between species. Such antibodies were not detected by the study of Mygind et al. (15), but epitopes deriving from this region might still be conformational in nature and not present on the truncated and denatured proteins used in their study. In contrast, our study used full-length refolded proteins.

The same comments apply to the study of Watson et al. (25), who also argued that the variable N-terminal region of OMP2 has no or limited immunogenicity.

In conclusion, OMP2 is an immunodominant antigen giving rise to antibody responses by humans infected with C. trachomatis (serovars A to C or serovars D to K) and with C. pneumoniae; these antibodies can be reliably detected by OMP2 ELISA. The sensitivity of this assay is high. The fact that antibodies to C. pneumoniae OMP2 in ELISA decline much faster than those detected by C. pneumoniae MIF may make C. pneumoniae OMP2 ELISA a useful tool, since positive results are more likely to reflect recent infection. OMP2 ELISA might therefore have a role in the serodiagnosis of chlamydial infection.
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